

Hence, for  $x \neq y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}V_m(t, x, y) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t K_4(s, R) ds\right) \\ &\times \left\{ -K_4(t, R)|x-y| + |x-y|^{-1} \left[ 2(x-y, a(t, x) - a(t, y)) \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^l |\sigma_j(t, x) - \sigma_j(t, y)|^2 - |x-y|^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^l (x-y, \sigma_j(t, x) - \sigma_j(t, y))^2 \right] \right\} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (6). Moreover (assuming that  $K_4(t, R) \geq 0$ ) we also have as a consequence of the conditions  $\int_0^s \varphi_m(z_1, R) dz_1 \leq 1$ , (7) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V_m(t, x, y) &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t K_4(s, R) ds\right) \left( K_4(t, R)|x-y| + \frac{K_6(R)|x-y|K_5(t, R)\rho_R^2(|x-y|^2)}{\rho_R^2(|x-y|^2)} \right) \\ &\leq 2R \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t K_4(s, R) ds\right) (K_4(t, R) + K_5(t, R)K_6(R)). \end{aligned}$$

Condition (2) holds by Theorem 2, as desired.

The author is grateful to A. N. Shiryaev and N. V. Krylov for their attention to this work.

*Received by the editors*  
June 14, 1976

REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. LEBEDEV, *On a condition of pathwise uniqueness of solution of a stochastic differential equation*, III Sovetsko-Yaponskii Simp. po Teorii Veroyatn., I, Tashkent, Fan, 1975. (In Russian.)
- [2] V. A. LEBEDEV, *On a condition of the uniqueness of solution of a system of stochastic differential equations*, Theory Prob. Applications, 21, 2 (1976), pp. 412-418.
- [3] E. D. CONWAY, *Stochastic equations with discontinuous drift*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 157, 1 (1971), pp. 235-245.
- [4] T. YAMADA AND S. WATANABE, *On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 11, 1 (1971), pp. 155-167; 11, 3 (1971), pp. 553-563.
- [5] Y. OKABE AND A. SHIMIZU, *On the pathwise uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 15, 2 (1975), p. 455-466.
- [6] D. W. STROOCK AND S. R. S. VARADHAN, *Diffusion processes with continuous coefficients*, I, II, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. Vol. 22, 6 (1969), pp. 345-400, 479-530.

**RECURRENCE OF AN OSCILLATING RANDOM WALK**

**B. A. ROGOZIN AND S. G. FOSS**

(Translated by K. Durr)

1. By an oscillating random walk (see [17]) we mean a homogeneous Markov chain  $Y = \{y_n, n = 0, \dots\}$  with state space  $Z = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ , for which  $y_0 = x, x \in Z$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{y_{n+1} = k + l | y_n = l\} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}\{\xi'_1 = k\} & \text{if } l < 0, \\ \mathbf{P}\{\xi''_1 = k\} & \text{if } l > 0, \\ p\mathbf{P}\{\xi'_1 = k\} + q\mathbf{P}\{\xi''_1 = k\} & \text{if } l = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z}, p + q = 1, p, q \geq 0$ , and  $\{\xi'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\xi''_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are independent sequences of independent and identically (in each sequence) distributed random variables with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We shall assume that the greatest common divisor of the  $k$  for which  $\mathbf{P}\{\xi'_1 = k\} > 0$  is equal to 1 and that the same holds for  $\xi''_1$ .

The recurrency of the chain  $Y$  (here and below by the recurrency or non-recurrency of  $Y$  we mean the recurrency or non-recurrency of the state 0 of  $Y$ ), as shown by examples, is not expressed in terms of the recurrency of the homogeneous random walks  $S' = \{S'_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  and  $S'' = \{S''_n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ ,

$$S'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi'_k, \quad S''_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi''_k, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad S'_0 = S''_0 = 0.$$

In Theorem 1 of this paper we give conditions for recurrency of  $Y$  in terms of the distributions of the ladder heights of the random walks  $S'$  and  $S''$ . Use of Theorem 1 makes it possible to find conditions for the recurrency of  $Y$  if the distributions of  $\xi'_1$  and  $\xi''_1$  belong to the region of attraction of stable laws (Theorem 2). In Section 4 we give examples illustrating that  $Y$  can be non-recurrent (transient) even in the case when  $\mathbf{E}\xi'_1 = \mathbf{E}\xi''_1 = 0$ .

The results of this work are based on the following lemma (see [1]).

**Lemma 1.** *The chain  $Y$  is recurrent if and only if*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{h=0}^\infty C(h)C(-h) = \infty,$$

where  $C(0) = 1$  and, for  $h = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$C(h) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{P}\{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} S'_i > 0, S'_n = h\},$$

$$C(-h) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{P}\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} S''_i < 0, S''_n = -h\}.$$

Let us define on the event  $A_+ = \{\sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} S'_n > 0\}$  the ladder random variables (see [7])  $T_+ = \min\{k: S'_k > 0\}$  and  $H_+ = S'_{T_+}$ , and on the event  $A_- = \{\inf_{1 \leq n < \infty} S''_n < 0\}$  the ladder random variables  $T_- = \min\{k: S''_k < 0\}$  and  $H_- = S''_{T_-}$ . For the random variable  $\eta$  and the event  $A$  set  $\mathbf{E}\{\eta; A\} = \int_A \eta d\mathbf{P}$ .

Lemma 1 may be reformulated with the aid of the next assertion.

**Lemma 2.** *Condition (1) is equivalent to*

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 1} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \operatorname{Re} ((1 - \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda H_+} t^{T_+}; A_+\})^{-1} (1 - \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda H_-} t^{T_-}; A_-\})^{-1}) d\lambda = \infty.$$

PROOF. For  $|t| < 1$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda = 0$  let

$$(2) \quad C_+(t, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n \sum_{h=1}^\infty e^{i\lambda h} \mathbf{P}\{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} S'_i > 0, S'_n = h\},$$

$$(3) \quad C_-(t, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n \sum_{h=-\infty}^{-1} e^{i\lambda h} \mathbf{P}\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} S''_i < 0, S''_n = h\}.$$

Since, for every  $t, |t| < 1$ , the series (2) and (3) are absolutely convergent,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi C_+(t, \lambda) C_-(t, \lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \operatorname{Re} (C_+(t, \lambda) C_-(t, \lambda)) d\lambda \\ & = 1 + \sum_{h=1}^\infty \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n \mathbf{P}\{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} S'_i > 0, S'_n = h\} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n \mathbf{P}\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} S''_i < 0, S''_n = -h\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 < t < 1$ . From the equalities (see, for example, [2], p. 416)

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} C_+(t, \lambda) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda S_n''}; \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i' > 0\} \\ &= (1 - \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda H_+ t^{T_+}}; A_+\})^{-1} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 0, |t| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} C_-(t, \lambda) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda S_n''}; \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i'' < 0\} \\ &= (1 - \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda H_- t^{T_-}}; A_-\})^{-1} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leq 0, |t| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the lemma.

From (4) and (5) it follows that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C(h) e^{i\lambda h} = (1 - h_+(\lambda))^{-1} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0,$$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{h=-\infty}^0 C(h) e^{i\lambda h} = (1 - h_-(\lambda))^{-1} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0,$$

where

$$h_+(\lambda) = \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda H_+}; A_+\} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 0, \quad h_-(\lambda) = \mathbf{E}\{e^{i\lambda H_-}; A_-\} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Im} \lambda \leq 0,$$

hence, for  $h = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$C(h) = \sum_{k=1}^h p_k(h), \quad C(-h) = \sum_{k=1}^h p_k(-h),$$

where, for  $h = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $p_k(h)$  are defined by the relations

$$(h_+(\lambda))^k = \sum_{h=k}^{\infty} p_k(h) e^{i\lambda h}, \quad (h_-(\lambda))^k = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{-k} p_k(h) e^{i\lambda h}.$$

In a fashion similar to that in which Lemma 2 was proved we see from the relations

$$(1 - th_+(\lambda))^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^k (h_+(\lambda))^k = 1 + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda h} \left( \sum_{k=1}^h t^k p_k(h) \right),$$

$$(1 - th_-(\lambda))^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{h=-\infty}^{-1} e^{i\lambda h} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{-h} t^k p_k(h) \right),$$

which are valid for  $|t| < 1$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda = 0$ , that condition (1) is equivalent to

$$(8) \quad \lim_{t \uparrow 1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re} ((1 - th_+(\lambda))^{-1} (1 - th_-(\lambda))^{-1}) d\lambda = \infty,$$

or to

$$(9) \quad \lim_{t \uparrow 1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \operatorname{Re} ((1 - th_+(\lambda))^{-1}) \operatorname{Re} ((1 - th_-(\lambda))^{-1}) d\lambda = \infty.$$

The expressions for  $C(h)$  given by (6) and (7) permit us to derive the following conditions for recurrency of  $Y$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\mathbf{P}\{A_+\} < 1$  or  $\mathbf{P}\{A_-\} < 1$ , then  $Y$  is non-recurrent. If  $\mathbf{P}\{A_+\} = \mathbf{P}\{A_-\} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{E}H_+ < \infty$  or  $-\mathbf{E}H_- < \infty$ , then  $Y$  is recurrent.*

**PROOF.** If  $\mathbf{P}\{A_+\} < 1$ , then from (6) we obtain  $\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C(h) < \infty$ , while since  $C(-h) \leq C < \infty$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots$ , the chain  $Y$  is non-recurrent by (1).

In view of the condition  $\mathbf{E}H_+ < \infty$  and the renewal theorem,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} C(h) = 1/\mathbf{E}H_+$ , while since  $\mathbf{P}\{A_-\} = 1$ , we have  $\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C(-h) = \infty$ . Hence  $\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} C(h)C(-h) = \infty$ ; thus under the condition that  $\mathbf{P}\{A_+\} = \mathbf{P}\{A_-\} = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{E}H_+ < \infty$ , the chain  $Y$  is recurrent by Lemma 1.

Note that  $\mathbf{E}H_+ < \infty$ , if  $0 < \mathbf{E}\xi'_1 < \infty$  or  $\mathbf{E}\xi'_1 = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}(\max(0, \xi'_1))^2 < \infty$  (see [3]).

**2. Theorem 1.** *If for some  $\delta > 0$*

$$(10) \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |1 - h_+(\lambda)|^{-1} |1 - h_-(\lambda)|^{-1} d\lambda < \infty,$$

*then the random walk  $Y$  is non-recurrent.*

*If*

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{Re}((1 - h_+(\lambda))(1 - h_-(\lambda))) \geq 0 \text{ for } |\lambda| < \delta \text{ for some } \delta > 0 \text{ and} \\ &\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \text{Re}((1 - h_+(\lambda))^{-1}(1 - h_-(\lambda))^{-1}) d\lambda = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

*then the random walk  $Y$  is recurrent.*

PROOF. For  $\text{Im } \lambda = 0, 0 < t < 1$ ,

$$0 \leq \text{Re}((1 - th_+(\lambda))^{-1}) \leq |1 - th_+(\lambda)|^{-1} \leq t^{-1} |1 - h_+(\lambda)|^{-1},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}(1 - th_+(\lambda)) &= 1 - t + t \text{Re}(1 - h_+(\lambda)) \geq t \text{Re}(1 - h_+(\lambda)) \geq 0, \\ \text{Im}(1 - th_+(\lambda)) &= t \text{Im}(1 - h_+(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$0 \leq \text{Re}((1 - th_-(\lambda))^{-1}) \leq t^{-1} |1 - h_-(\lambda)|^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{Re} \frac{1}{1 - th_+(\lambda)} \cdot \text{Re} \frac{1}{1 - th_-(\lambda)} d\lambda \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{|1 - h_+(\lambda)| |1 - h_-(\lambda)|}.$$

From this we obtain, in view of (9), that under the conditions of the first half of the theorem the random walk  $Y$  is non-recurrent.

If the conditions of the second half of the theorem hold, then, in view of the condition

$$\text{Re}((1 - th_+(\lambda))^{-1}(1 - th_-(\lambda))^{-1}) \geq 0,$$

for  $|\lambda| \leq \delta$ ,

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 1} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \text{Re} \frac{1}{(1 - th_+(\lambda))(1 - th_-(\lambda))} d\lambda \geq \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \text{Re} \frac{1}{(1 - h_+(\lambda))(1 - h_-(\lambda))} d\lambda$$

by Fatou's lemma. Here (since  $|1 - h_{\pm}(\lambda)| > \varepsilon > 0$  for  $\delta \leq |\lambda| \leq \pi$ )

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \text{Re}((1 - th_+(\lambda))^{-1}(1 - th_-(\lambda))^{-1}) d\lambda = \infty,$$

i.e., (8) holds, and thus  $Y$  is recurrent.

Suppose that  $\xi'_1$  and  $\xi''_1$  are identically distributed. In this case the oscillating random walk  $Y$  is a homogeneous walk on the line, and conditions of recurrency for  $Y$  coincide with the known conditions for a homogeneous walk. Indeed, since in this case (see [3])

$$(12) \quad (1 - h_+(\lambda))(1 - h_-(\lambda))B = 1 - \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda \xi'_1},$$

where

$$B = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\{S'_n = 0\}/n \right\},$$

conditions (10) and (11) become, respectively,

$$\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |1 - \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda \xi'_1}|^{-1} d\lambda < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \text{Re} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda \xi'_1}} d\lambda = \infty.$$

Turning again to an oscillating random walk, consider the case when  $\xi'_1$  and  $-\xi''_1$  are identically distributed. We shall call such oscillating random walks *symmetric*. In this case the following assertion holds.

**Corollary 2.** *A symmetric oscillating random walk is recurrent if and only if*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |1 - h_+(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda = \infty.$$

PROOF. Here  $H_+$  and  $-H_-$  are identically distributed; thus  $h_+(\lambda) = \overline{h_-(\lambda)}$ , where  $\bar{z}$  is the complex conjugate of  $z$ , and therefore

$$(1 - h_+(\lambda))(1 - h_-(\lambda)) = |1 - h_+(\lambda)|^2.$$

Consequently, if

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |1 - h_+(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda < \infty,$$

then, by the first part of Theorem 1,  $Y$  is non-recurrent while if

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |1 - h_+(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda = \infty,$$

then, by the second part of Theorem 1,  $Y$  is recurrent.

**3.** Let  $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of identically distributed independent random variables,  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$ ,  $S_0 = 0$ . Let the random walk  $S = \{S_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be strongly attracted to the stable law  $F$ , i.e., there is a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of non-negative numbers such that  $F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{S_n < a_n x\}$ ; in this case set  $a = \alpha(1 - F(0))$ , where  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 2$ , is the index of stability of  $F$ . Set  $a = 1$  if  $S$  is relatively stable, i.e., there is a sequence of non-negative numbers  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $S_n/a_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in probability, and  $a = 0$  if  $\{-S_n\}$  is relatively stable. In all these cases we shall say that the random walk  $S$  is *stable*, and the number  $a$  is called the *index of stability* of  $S$ . Note that if  $\{S_n\}$  is stable with index of stability  $a$ , then  $\{-S_n\}$  is stable and its index of stability equals  $\alpha - a = \alpha F(0)$  if  $S$  is strongly attracted to the stable law  $F$ , and equals  $1 - a$  otherwise.

**Theorem 2.** *If the homogeneous walks  $\{S'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{-S''_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are stable with indices  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , then, for  $a_1 + a_2 < 1$ ,  $Y$  is non-recurrent, while, for  $a_1 + a_2 > 1$ ,  $Y$  is recurrent.*

To prove the theorem we need several assertions in which it is assumed that  $\xi'_1, \xi''_1$  and  $\xi_1$  are identically distributed and for  $S$  we use the notation introduced for  $S'$  and  $S''$ .

1.  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  (see [4]).
2. If  $0 < a < 1$ , then the homogeneous random walk with jump distribution coinciding with that of  $H_+$  is strongly attracted to the stable spectrally positive law with index  $a$  (see [4]).
3. If  $a = 1$ , then the homogeneous random walk with jump distribution coinciding with that of  $H_+$  is relatively stable (see [4]).
4. If  $S$  is strongly attracted to the stable law  $F$  with index  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|1 - \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda \xi_1}| \geq \lambda^{\alpha + \varepsilon}$  for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta$ . This assertion follows from Theorem 2.6.5 in [5].
5. If  $S$  is relatively stable, then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(13) \quad |1 - \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda \xi_1}| \geq \lambda^{1 + \varepsilon} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta.$$

For relatively stable walks it is known that (see [6])

$$\nu(t) = \mathbf{E}\{\xi_1; |\xi_1| < t\}$$

is positive for all sufficiently large  $t$ , and varies slowly at infinity, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \mathbf{P}\{|\xi_1| \geq t\} / \nu(t) = 0.$$

For  $\lambda > 0$ , consider

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda\xi_1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin x\lambda \, d\mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 < x\} = \lambda \int_{-\pi/\lambda}^{\pi/\lambda} \frac{\sin x\lambda}{x\lambda} x \, d\mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 < x\} + \int_{|x|>\pi/\lambda} \sin x\lambda \, d\mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 < x\}.$$

We have

$$\left| \int_{|x|>\pi/\lambda} \sin x\lambda \, d\mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 < x\} \right| \leq \mathbf{P}\{|\xi_1| > \pi(\lambda)\} = o(\lambda\nu(1/\lambda))$$

as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Further, for  $f(x) = x^{-1} \sin x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\pi/\lambda}^{\pi/\lambda} xf(x\lambda) \, d\mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 < x\} = \int_0^{\pi/\lambda} f(x\lambda) \, d\nu(x) = \lambda - \int_0^{\pi/\lambda} f'(x\lambda)\nu(x) \, dx \\ &= - \int_0^{\pi} f'(x)\nu(x/\lambda) \, dx = - \int_0^{t_0\lambda} - \int_{t_0\lambda}^{\eta} - \int_{\eta}^{\pi} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta$  is some fixed scalar and  $\lambda t_0 < \eta < 1$ . Note that  $Cx \geq -f'(x) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq \pi$ .

Let us take  $t_0$  large enough so that  $\nu(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and  $\nu(x\lambda^{-1})/\nu(\lambda^{-1}) \leq 1/\sqrt{x}$  for  $\lambda t_0 \leq x \leq \eta$ . The validity of the last inequality for sufficiently large  $t_0$  follows immediately from the Karamata representation for the slowly varying function  $\nu(t)$  (see [7], p. 281). Thus, as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$|I_2| \leq \nu(1/\lambda) \int_{\lambda t_0}^{\eta} |f'(x)| \frac{\nu(x\lambda^{-1})}{\nu(\lambda^{-1})} \, dx \leq C\nu(1/\lambda) \int_0^{\eta} \sqrt{x} \, dx \leq C\eta\nu(1/\lambda),$$

$$|I_1| \leq \int_0^{\lambda t_0} |f'(x)| |\nu(x/\lambda)| \, dx = O(\lambda^2),$$

since  $|\nu(y)|$  is bounded for  $0 \leq y \leq t$ .

Further, use of the Karamata representation for  $\nu(t)$  makes it possible to see without difficulty that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \nu(x\lambda^{-1})/\nu(\lambda^{-1}) = 1$  uniformly in  $x$ ,  $\eta \leq x \leq \pi$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3 - \nu(1/\lambda)| &\leq \left| \int_{\eta}^{\pi} f'(x)(\nu(x/\lambda) - \nu(1/\lambda)) \, dx \right| + \nu(1/\lambda) \left| \int_0^{\eta} f'(x) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \varphi_1(\lambda)\nu(1/\lambda) + C\eta\nu(1/\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) = C\pi \int_{\eta}^{\pi} |\nu(x\lambda^{-1})/\nu(\lambda^{-1}) - 1| \, dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining the estimates for  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |I/\nu(\lambda^{-1}) - 1| \leq 2C\eta,$$

while, since  $\eta$  is arbitrary,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} I/\nu(\lambda^{-1}) = 1$ , whence there immediately follows the relation

$$(14) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda\xi_1} / \lambda\nu(\lambda^{-1}) = 1,$$

and hence inequality (13) as well.

6. If the random walk  $\mathcal{S}$  is stable with  $a = 1$ , then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\lambda^{1+\varepsilon} \leq -\operatorname{Im}(1 - h_+(\lambda)) \leq \lambda^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(1 - h_+(\lambda)) \leq \lambda^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

The first relation follows immediately from Assertion 3 and relation (14). The inequality for  $\text{Re}(1 - h_+(\lambda))$  follows from that fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos x\lambda) d\mathbf{P}\{H_+ < x\} &\leq 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\sin \frac{\lambda x}{2}\right)^2 d\mathbf{P}\{H_+ < x\} \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{2\pi/\lambda} \sin \frac{\lambda x}{2} d\mathbf{P}\{H_+ < x\} + 2\mathbf{P}\left\{H_+ > \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right\} \\ &\leq 2 \text{Im } h_+(\lambda/2) + \varphi_2(\lambda)\lambda\nu(1/\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_2(\lambda) = 2\mathbf{P}\{H_+ > 2\pi/\lambda\}/\lambda\nu(\lambda^{-1}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

7. If the random walk  $S$  is stable and  $0 < a < 1$ , then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\lambda^{a+\varepsilon} \leq \text{Re}(1 - h_+(\lambda)) \leq \lambda^{a-\varepsilon}, \quad \lambda^{a+\varepsilon} \leq -\text{Im}(1 - h_+(\lambda)) \leq \lambda^{a-\varepsilon}.$$

This assertion follows from Assertion 2 and arguments in [5], Chapter 2 § 6.

8. If the random walk  $S$  is stable and  $a = 0$ , then  $|1 - h_+(\lambda)| \geq \lambda^\varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ .

Indeed, let  $a = 0$ . If  $\{S_n\}$  is strongly attracted to the stable law  $F$  with index  $\alpha$ , then since  $\alpha(1 - F(0)) = 0$  we have  $F(0) = 1$ , whence it follows that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Hence  $\{-S_n\}$  is stable with index  $\alpha$ , and therefore applying Assertion 7 to  $h_-(\lambda)$  we obtain for all sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$  that  $|1 - h_-(\lambda)| \leq \lambda^{\alpha-\varepsilon/3}$ .

Further, in view of the factorization identity (12) and Assertion 4, for all sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$|1 - h_+(\lambda)| = \frac{|1 - \mathbf{E} e^{i\lambda\xi_1}|}{B|1 - h_-(\lambda)|} \geq \frac{\lambda^{\alpha+\varepsilon/3}}{B\lambda^{\alpha-\varepsilon/3}} \geq \lambda^\varepsilon.$$

If  $a = 0$  and  $\{-S_n\}$  is relatively stable, then applying Assertion 6 to  $h_-(\lambda)$  we see that  $|1 - h_-(\lambda)| \leq \lambda^{1-\varepsilon/3}$  for all sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ . Use of Assertion 5 and the identity (12), as in the preceding case, yields the estimate  $|1 - h_+(\lambda)| \geq \lambda^\varepsilon$ .

Let us turn directly to the proof of Theorem 2.

Let  $a_1 + a_2 < 1$ , take  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $a_1 + a_2 + 2\varepsilon < 1$ . Then

$$\int_{-\delta}^\delta |1 - h_+(\lambda)|^{-1} |1 - h_-(\lambda)|^{-1} d\lambda \leq 2 \int_0^\delta \lambda^{-a_1-\varepsilon} \lambda^{-a_2-\varepsilon} d\lambda < \infty.$$

Here for  $|1 - h_+(\lambda)|$  and  $|1 - h_-(\lambda)|$  we have used the lower estimates contained in assertions 7 and 8. Whence, using Theorem 1, we have the non-recurrence of  $Y$ .

Let  $a_1 + a_2 > 1$ . Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $a_1 + a_2 - 6\varepsilon > 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\delta}^\delta \text{Re}((1 - h_+(\lambda))^{-1}(1 - h_-(\lambda))^{-1}) d\lambda &\geq 2 \int_0^\delta \frac{-\text{Im } h_+(\lambda) \text{Im } h_-(\lambda) d\lambda}{(|1 - h_+(\lambda)| |1 - h_-(\lambda)|)^2} \\ &\geq 2 \int_0^\delta \frac{\lambda^{a_1+\varepsilon} \lambda^{a_2+\varepsilon}}{\lambda^{2(a_1-\varepsilon)} \lambda^{2(a_2-\varepsilon)}} d\lambda \\ &= 2 \int_0^\delta \lambda^{-a_1-a_2+6\varepsilon} d\lambda = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here for  $|1 - h_+(\lambda)|$  and  $|1 - h_-(\lambda)|$  we have used the upper estimates, and for  $\text{Im } h_+(\lambda)$  and  $-\text{Im } h_-(\lambda)$  the lower estimates contained in assertions 6 and 7. Theorem 1 yields, also in this case, the desired assertion as to the recurrence of  $Y$ .

4. In conclusion we shall give examples of non-recurrent random walks  $Y$  with  $\mathbf{E}\xi'_1 = \mathbf{E}\xi''_1 = 0$ . Set, for  $2 > \alpha > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\xi'_1 = k\} &= k^{-\alpha-1}/(\zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\alpha + 1)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \mathbf{P}\{\xi'_1 = -1\} &= \zeta(\alpha)/(\zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\alpha + 1)), \\ \mathbf{P}\{\xi'_1 = 0\} &= \mathbf{P}\{\xi'_1 < -1\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta(\beta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\beta}$ ,  $\beta > 1$ . The distribution of  $\xi''_1$  coincides with that of  $-\xi'_1$ .

It is obvious that  $\mathbf{E}\xi'_1 = \mathbf{E}\xi''_1 = 0$  and that  $S'$  is strongly attracted to the stable spectrally positive law  $F_\alpha$  for which, for  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mu x} dF_\alpha(x) = \exp\{\mu^\alpha\}.$$

Let us show that  $a_1 = \alpha - 1$ . Indeed, the random variable  $H$  equal to the first positive sum in the sequence  $\{-S'_n\}_1^\infty$  has finite expectation since in this case  $\mathbf{E}\xi'_1 = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{E}(\max(0, -\xi'_1))^2 < \infty$  (see [3]). Further, this yields, by Theorem 9 in [4], that  $\alpha F_\alpha(0) = 1$  since  $H$  is relatively stable. From this it follows that  $S'$  is stable with index  $a_1 = \alpha(1 - F_\alpha(0)) = \alpha - 1$ . Obviously,  $a_2 = a_1$ , and thus for  $\alpha < 3/2$  the walk will be non-recurrent by Theorem 2, while for  $\alpha > 3/2$  it will be recurrent. Use of Corollary 2 and more precise estimates for  $|1 - h_+(\lambda)|$  allow one to conclude that for  $\alpha = 3/2$  the walk is recurrent.

Now assume that  $\xi'_1$  is distributed as in the preceding example, while for  $\beta > 1$  we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{\xi''_1 = -2^n\} = C_\beta 2^{-n} n^{-\beta}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad C_\beta = \left(2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} n^{-\beta}\right)^{-1},$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{\xi''_1 = [2C_\beta \zeta(\beta)] + i\} = \frac{C_\beta \zeta(\beta)}{3([2C_\beta \zeta(\beta)] + 1)}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{\xi''_1 = 0\} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{C_\beta \zeta(\beta)}{[2C_\beta \zeta(\beta)] + 1},$$

and  $\mathbf{P}\{\xi''_1 = k\} = 0$  for the other values of  $k$ . Thus in this case  $\mathbf{E}\xi'_1 = \mathbf{E}\xi''_1 = 0$ .

From the results of [6] it follows immediately that  $\{S''_n\}$  is relatively stable and therefore  $a_2 = 0$ ,  $a_1 = \alpha - 1$ , and consequently for  $\alpha < 2$   $Y$  is non-recurrent by Theorem 2, while for  $\alpha > 2$  (since  $\mathbf{E}H_+ < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{P}\{A_-\} = \mathbf{P}\{A_+\} = 1$ )  $Y$  is recurrent by Corollary 1. In this example the homogeneous random walk  $S''$  is stable, while the distribution of the random variable  $\xi'_1$  does not belong to the region of attraction of any stable law whatsoever.

*Received by the editors  
June 9, 1976*

REFERENCES

[1] J. H. B. KEMPERMAN, *The oscillating random walk*, Stoch. Proc. Appl., 2, 1 (1974), pp. 1-29.  
 [2] E. A. PECHERSKII and B. A. ROGOZIN, *On joint distributions of random variables associated with fluctuations of a process with independent increments*, Theory Prob. Applications, 14, 3 (1969), pp. 410-423.  
 [3] B. A. ROGOZIN, *On the distribution of the first jump*, Theory Prob. Applications, 9, 3 (1969), pp. 450-465.  
 [4] B. A. ROGOZIN, *The distribution of the first ladder moment and height and fluctuation of a random walk*, Theory Prob. Applications, 16, 4 (1971), pp. 575-595.  
 [5] I. A. IBRAGIMOV and YU. V. LINNIK, *Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1971.  
 [6] B. A. ROGOZIN, *Relatively stable walks*, Theory Prob. Applications, 21, 2 (1976), pp. 375-379.  
 [7] W. FELLER, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1971.